

## **REMARKS**

### **Status of the Claims**

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 14, 21, 24, 26, 28, 32 and 34-36 are pending in the application. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 14, 21, 24 and 26 are allowed. Claims 28, 32 and 34-36 have been canceled, without prejudice, by the above amendment.

### **Response to Arguments/Remarks**

Applicants acknowledge that previously withdrawn claims directed to process of making or using an allowable product have been rejoined. Applicants wish to point out, however, that while the Examiner has identify claim 26 as directed to an allowable product, said claim is actually a method of treatment claim. The Examiner is respectfully invited to telephone the undersigned to resolve the status of claim 26.

### **Rejection under 35 USC §112**

Claims 28, 32 and 34-36 stand rejected under 35 USC §112, first paragraph as allegedly failing to comply with the enablement requirement. In the interest of advancing prosecution of the application, and without acquiescing in any way to the Examiner's position, Applicants have canceled claims 28, 32 and 34-36 without prejudice to filing one or more future continuing applications directed to canceled subject matter. The rejection is now moot in light of the cancellation and should be withdrawn.

Applicants believe the above amendment and remarks place the application in condition for allowance, and respectfully request an early favorable action in the instant application. The examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney at the telephone number provided below if such would advance the prosecution of the application.

Respectfully submitted,

By: Mollie M. Yang, Reg. #32718/  
Mollie M. Yang  
Reg. No. 32,718  
Attorney for Applicants

MERCK & CO., Inc.  
P.O. Box 2000  
Rahway, New Jersey 07065-0900  
Tel.: (732) 594-6343

Date: June 23, 2009